		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/550		KAWANO, 'HIROSHI	í
		Examin	·	Art Unit	
	,	į		2626	
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	Scott A			ess
Period for Reply					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 b) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. 0) days, a reply within the s atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a statutory minimum of the d will expire SIX (6) MC application to become	a reply be timely filed cirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this commandation (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
1)[Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-13 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 and 7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 2-6 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
•		don and/or election	rrequirement.		
	ion Papers	_			
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 					
Attachmen	t(s)				
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P			Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	

Application/Control Number: 09/550,391

Art Unit: 2626

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-7, in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. Claims 8-13 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Please cancel the non-elected claims in response to this Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Koike et al (US 5200840).

Referring to claim 1:

Koike et al discloses an image processor comprising:

dot area extraction means for extracting a dot area in an image based on image data of a subject image (col. 8, lines 1-2 and 15-22); and

Moiré removing spatial filter means for performing a process of limiting spatial frequency components of the extracted dot area to an image data portion corresponding to the dot area (col. 9, lines 41-42 and col. 10, lines 2-24),

Application/Control Number: 09/550,391

Art Unit: 2626

wherein the Moiré removing spatial filter means has a characteristic of attenuating an entirety of the spatial frequency components to be contained in the image and further attenuating or removing a predetermined spatial frequency component liable to cause Moiré appearance (col. 10, lines 29-36).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koike et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Owashi et al (US 5231479).

Referring to claim 7:

Owashi et al discloses image data composed of luminance data and color difference data on each of the pixels and the Moiré removing spatial filter means 80 processes only the luminance data (col. 8, lines 23-26).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided in Koike et al the feature of, in the case where the image is composed of pixels and image data thereof is composed of luminance data and color difference data on each of the pixels, the Moiré removing spatial filter means processing only the luminance data on each pixel, in view on Owashi et al, in order to add together the luminance data and

Art Unit: 2626

chrominance (color difference) data while suppressing generation of color Moiré and protecting the luminance data against degradation or deterioration (col. 2, lines 25-30).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject

matter: The prior art searched and of record neither anticipates nor suggests in the

claimed combinations, a convolution operation of a matrix defining a characteristic of a

first filter for attenuating or removing Moiré-causative spatial frequency component and

a matrix defining a smoothing filter characteristic.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Scott A Rogers by telephone at 703-305-4726 and by e-

mail address at scott.rogers@uspto.gov.

The official fax number for Technology Center 2600 where this application or

proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to TC 2600 Customer Service at 703-306-0377.

14 December 2003

Page 4